# Logical Framework Approach (LFA)

Sebuah kerangka perencanaan

Aditya Firman Ihsan SPECTRA 5, Tasikmalaya 29 Desember 2019

# **Pre-Test!**

# You want to plan a project, mention all important steps you need to do

# Mention all general phases you know in managing a project. What tools you know you can use in each phase?

"Give me six hours to chop down a tree and I will spend the first four sharpening the axe"



# What is LFA

### LFA => analytical and management tool

- Used by most multi-lateral and bi-lateral aid agencies, international NGOs, and by many partner governments.
- Developed in the late 1960s to assist the US Agency of International Development
- Designed to address three basic concerns, namely that:
  - Planning was too vague, without clearly defined objectives;
  - Management responsibilities were unclear; and
  - Evaluation was often an adversarial process.











# **Prepatory stage**

# Defining boundary: focusing action







# **Stakeholder Analysis**

- Different groups have different concerns, capacities and interests, and that these need to be explicitly understood and recognised in the process of problem identification, objective setting and strategy selection.
- Key Questions:
  - Whose problems or opportunities are we analyzing?
  - Who will benefit or loose-out, and how, from a proposed project intervention?

# **Stakeholder Analysis**

#### There are a great number of methodologies concerning stakeholder analysis with a wide range of complexity



# **Stakeholder Analysis**

#### Stakeholder Interest Matrix (version 1)



# **Stakeholder Analysis**

#### Stakeholder Interest Matrix (version 2)



# **Stakeholder Analysis**

#### Stakeholder Participation Matrix



## **Stakeholder Analysis**

#### SWOT Matrix



# **Stakeholder Analysis**

Importance-Influence Matrix

# High Importance 1. 2.

3.

1.

2.

3.

1.

2.

3.

1.

2.

3.

Low Influence

**High Influence** 

Low Importance

# **Stakeholder Analysis**

#### Example

Stakeholder and basic characteristics	Problems (How affected by the problem(s)	lInterests (and possible actions to address it )	Potential (Capacity and motivation to bring about change)
Fishing families: X families, low income earners, small scale family businesses, organised into informal cooperatives, women actively involved in fish processing and marketing	Pollution is affecting volume and quality of catch Family health is suffering, particularly children and mothers	Maintain and improve their means of livelihood Support capacity to organise and lobby Implement industry pollution control measures	
Industry X: Large scale industrial operation, poorly regulated and no unions, influential lobby group, poor environmental record	Some concern about public image Concern about costs if Environmental regulations enforced	Maintain/increase profits Raise their awareness of social and environmental impact Mobilise political pressure to influence industry behaviour Strengthen and enforce environmental laws	Have financial and technical resources to employ new cleaner technologies Limited current motivation to change
Households: X households discharge waste and waste water into river, also source some drinking water and eat fish from the river	Aware of industrial pollution and impact on water quality Health risks	Want access to clean water Want to dispose of own waste away from the household	Potential to lobby government bodies more effectively Appear willing to pay for improved waste management services Limited understanding of the health impact of their own waste/ waste water disposal

Local government Etc.

# **Stakeholder Analysis**

#### Note!

Sometimes it's useful to categorize all stakeholders.

Use three general categories (primary, secondary, tertiary), or just split them to four specific categories (beneficiaries, partners, donors, opponents)

Primary
<ul> <li>Users / Beneficiaries (male, females, children, elderly, etc.)</li> </ul>

# **Problem Analysis**



- Identify all major problems
- Start with one problem
- Identify causal correlation of other problems
- Sort in hierarchy of causeeffects relationship
- All starting nodes are called sources.
- Sources needs to be divided to based on controllability



# **Objective Analysis**



# **Objective Analysis**

- Reformulate all negative situations of the problems analysis into positive situations that are desirable
- Check the means-ends relationships to ensure validity and completeness of the hierarchy (Caution: Every cause-effect relationship does not automatically become a means-end relationship. This depends on the rewording.)
- If necessary, revise statements or add/delete new objectives



# **Strategy Analysis**



- Identify differing "means-ends" ladders, as possible alternative options or project components.
- Eliminate objectives which are obviously not desirable or achievable or pursued by other projects in the area.
- Make an assessment of the feasibility of the different alternatives.
- Select one of the alternatives as the project strategy.
- If agreement cannot be directly reached, then: Introduce additional criteria, or; Alter the most promising option by including or subtracting elements from the objectives tree.

N.





# LogFrame Matrix

Logic of intervention	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Sources of Verification	Assumptions
Overall Objective (1)	(10)	(11)	(9)
Purpose (2)	(12)	(13)	(8)
Results (3)	(14)	(15)	(7)
Activities (4)	Means (16)	Costs (17)	(6)
			Preconditions (5)

# LogFrame Matrix



# **Intervention Logic**

Logic of intervention	What is the overall objective that the project will contribute to? Project importance to society in terms of the long-term benefits which are not achieved by the project alone		
Overall Objective			
Purpose 1. 2.	What is the purpose of project to be realised by the project? Project purpose describes intended situation at the end of the project.		
Results 1.1 1.2 2.1	What are concrete visible results to contribute to realisation of project purpose? What changes and improvements will be achieved by the project? Tangible products and services delivered or competences and capacities established directly as a result of project activities by the completion date.		
Activities 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.2.1 2.1.1	What activities are required and in what order in order to achieve the expected results? Specific tasks (work programme) to be undertaken during the project's lifetime in order to obtain results. (sometimes optional within the matrix itself ).		

## Inputs & precondition

Last row of LFM: Inputs and Precondition

Inputs = recources (filled with means in col. 2 and costs in col. 3)
1. can be related directly to the specified activities
2. are necessary and sufficient conditions to undertake the planned activities

3. are precisely and verifiably defined (quantity, quality, cost)

**Precondition** (col. 4): Assumption that makes activities are doable with given inputs

# Assumptions



In brief, we can say that assumptions:

- can be derived from the objectives tree
- are worded as positive conditions
- are linked to the different levels in the matrix
- are weighted according to importance and probability


Assumptions are external factors that have the potential to influence (or even determine) the success of a project, but lie outside the direct control of project managers.

#### In brief, assumptions:

- can be derived from the objectives tree
- are worded as positive conditions
- are linked to the different levels in the matrix
- are weighted according to importance and probability



Assumptions which are either very likely to occur or are not very important for the outcome of the project should be deleted.

If an assumption is determined as being both very important for the outcome but not likely to occur, then it is a killing factor. If killing factors are found, the project must either be changed to avoid these factors, or the project must be abandoned.

## Assumptions

### Example

Overall Objective To contribute to improved family health, particularly of under 5 years and the general health of the riverin eco-system

Purpose Improved quality of river water

Result 1: Volume of waste water directly discharged into the river system by housholds and factories reduced

Assumptions:

Public awareness campaing by local govern-ment impacts positevly on health and sanitation practices of poor families

Assumptions: River flows mantained above X megaliters per second for at least 8 months of the year EPA is sucessful in reducing solid waste disposal levels from X to Y per year

## **OVIs**

**Objectively Verifiable Indicators** (OVIs) describe the project's objectives in operationally measurable terms, specify the performance standard to be reached in order to achieve the goal, the purpose and the outputs.





## OVIs

## Example

**Objective:** improved quality of river water

- 1. Identify indicator: e.g. Concentration of heavy metal compounds (Pb, Cd, Hg) and untreated sewerage
- 2. Specify target group: water accessible to population
- 3. Quantify: level of concentration is reduced by 25%
- 4. Set quality: meet established national health pollution control standards
- 5. Specify time frame: between 2005 and 2007
- 6. Set location: Vojvodina

**OVI:** the level of concentration of heavy metal compounds (Pb, Cd, Hg) and untreated sewerage of the water accessible to population of Vojvodina are reduced by 25% between 2005 and 2007 to meet established national health pollution control standards.

## **Source of Verification**

>0

S

Of

4 V

Source of Verification (SOV) will help to test whether or not the indicators can be realistically measured at the expense of a reasonable amount of time, money and effort.

WHAT information to be made available, (e.g. from administrative records, special studies, sample surveys, observation, etc.)

WHERE, in what form the information/documented source should be collected (e.g. progress reports, official statistical documents, etc.)

WHO should collect/provide the information (e.g. Field extension workers, contracted survey teams, the project management team)

WHEN/HOW regularly it should be provided (e.g. monthly, quarterly, annually, etc.)

## **Source of Verification**

Check the usefulness of the OVI

- 1. Is the information **available** from existing sources (statistics, records, etc.)?
- 2. Is the information **reliable** and up-to-date?
- 3. Is **special data-gathering** required?
- 4. If so, do the **benefits** justify the costs?
- 5. Avoid costly and/or unreliable indicators.

## **OVI-SOV for Activities**

#### Note!

For activities intervention, OVI column is filled with means of respective activities and SOV column is filled with cost of respective activities

Both are regarded as inputs

## Example

Logic of intervention	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Sources of Verification	Assumptions
Overall Objective To contribute to improved family health, particularly to under 5 years old and to improve general health of riverin ecosystem	Incidents of water born diseases, skin infections and blood disorders caused by heavy metals, reduced by 50% by 2008, specifically among low income families living along the river	clinic records, including maternal and child health records collected by mobile MCH teams. Results summarised	
Purpose Improved quality of river water	Concentration of heavy metal compounds (Pb, Cd, Hg) and untreated sewerage reduced by 25% compared to levels in 2003 and meets established national health/pollution control standards by end of 2007	surveys jointly conducted by EPA and the River Authority and reported monthly to the Local Government	impacts positively on families sanitation and
Results 1 Volume of wastewater directly discharged into the river system by hausholds and factories reduced	70% of waste water produced by factories and 80% of wastewater produced by households is treated in plants by 2008	municipalities between	above X mega litres per

Etc..

## Project Cycle Management

## LFA and PCM

## What is PCM

The European Union (EU) uses project cycle management to make sure that it funds projects that are aligned with its objectives.

The European Commission adopted project cycle management in 1992 as its primary set of project design and management tools.



## Stages of PCM

- Programming: what the developmental priorities are and comes to an agreement of a strategy paper and indicative program.
- Identification: the completion of the fiche, or financing proposal, after a delegation makes an initial assessment.
- Formulation: determines if the project is feasible and if it will deliver on the benefits it proposes by completing the proposal, along with technical & administrative provisions.
- Implementation
- Evaluation: Evaluation determines if the project achieved its planned goals by completing an evaluation study, which is planned and managed by a task manager.
- Audit: The audit will see if the project was completed in compliance with law and rules, and if other criteria has been met. The process is usually managed by an audit task manager.

LFA and PCM

## **Corresponding LFA**

## PCM

## **Key Questions**

## LFA

Programming

Identification

Formulation

Implementaton

Evaluation & Audit

What are the countrys development priorities? What do the donors focus on?

Is the project concept relevant to priority local needs and consistent with a donors policy priority?

Is the project feasible? Will it deliver sustainable benefits?

Are results being achieved and resources efficiently and effectively used? What corrective action should be taken?

Were planned benefits achieved, will they be sustained and what lessons have been learned?

Prepatory Stage, Stakeholder Analysis

Problem Analysis, Objective Analysis, Strategy Analysis

Logframe Matrix

Execution of all activities in LFM

Assesment on OVI of the LFM

## **Post-Test!**

## You are aware that literacy quality in Indonesia is a major problem.

# You want to initiate a literacy project in a city to contribute solving that problem

# Formulate a simple Logframe Matrix step by step of the project

## Thank you!